

Scientia Horticulturae
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: HORTI6578

Title: Effect of kaolin film particle applications and water deficit on physiological characteristics in rose cut plants (Rose spp. L.).

Article Type: Short Communication

Keywords: Surround WP, leaf water content, stomatal conductance, leaf temperature, shoot length.

› Kaolin protects plants from extreme heat and ultraviolet radiation by increasing leaf reflectance › We evaluated the effect of water status and kaolin film particle application in rose plants › Significant interactions were not found between plant water status and kaolin › Plants treated with kaolin showed a difference approximately of 2.5 °C on leaf temperature at mid-day compared to Plant non-treated with kaolin › the number of marketable stems and shoot length under deficit irrigation was affected by water deficit.

1 **Effect of kaolin film particle applications and water deficit on**
2 **physiological characteristics in rose cut plants (*Rose spp*).**

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 **Abstract**

2 The effect of foliar applications of a kaolin clay particle film (Surround WP) on leaf
3 temperature (T_{lf}), chlorophyll fluorescence (F_v/F_m), shoot length, production and water
4 relations in well-irrigated and water-stressed rose cut plants (*Rose spp*) were studied during
5 ten weeks. Plants were sprayed twice at first and fifth week after the experiment started with
6 aqueous suspensions of Kaolin (Surround) at a dose of 5% (w/v). The interaction between
7 Kaolin applications and water status did not showed significances. Water stress decreased the
8 stomatal conductance (g_s), leaf water content (LWC), shoot length and the number of
9 marketable floral stems. Kaolin sprays did not affect on SPAD readings, chlorophyll
10 fluorescence, g_s , LWC and shoot length. Kaolin reduced leaf temperature by 2.5°C
11 approximately at midday compared to plants non-sprayed with kaolin. These results show that
12 kaolin foliar applications could be considered an useful tool at early growth stage in
13 improving rose plant acclimation to high temperatures levels under greenhouse conditions in
14 tropical regions.

15

16 **Keywords:** Surround WP, leaf water content, stomatal conductance, leaf temperature, shoot
17 length.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Rose is one of the main ornamental plants in the world (Niu and Rodriguez, 2009), being
3 Colombia the first roses-producing country in Latin American and the second roses-exporting
4 country in the world with a exportation of 59499 tons of rose for cutflower during 2009
5 (Asocolflores, 2010).

6 Temperatures are often higher than optimal in ornamental production systems. This situation
7 may stress plants, causing a reduction of quality and/or yield of ornamental crops (Restrepo-
8 Diaz et al., 2010). The high solar radiation and temperatures cause high rates of plant water
9 loss and plants regularly show symptoms of burn or withering in leaves or fruits (Callejon-
10 Ferre et al., 2009). To diminish the negative influence of water and heat stress on plant
11 physiology and productivity, particle film applications such as kaolin have been used (Rosati
12 et al., 2006). Kaolin cools tissues and protects plants from extreme heat and ultraviolet
13 radiation by increasing leaf reflectance and reducing transpiration rate (Nakano and Uehara,
14 1996; Glenn et al.. 2010).

15 Kaolin has been tested in different horticultural crops and its response has been heterogeneous
16 (Rosati et al. 2006). Kaolin showed a reduction on leaf temperature in apple trees (Wunsche
17 et al., 2004), and improved light-saturated CO₂ assimilation rate (A_{max}) and stomatal
18 conductance (g_s) in citrus at midday (Jifon and Syvertsen, 2003). However, kaolin has no
19 effect on gas exchange parameters in pepper (Russo and Diaz-Perez, 2005) and did not suffice
20 to mitigate the adverse effects of heat and water stress on photosynthesis in almond and
21 walnut (Rosati et al., 2006), and enhanced water loss from fruit in tomato (Nakano et al.,
22 1996).

23 Low leaf water content causes negative effect on leaf area, CO₂ assimilation rate, stomatal
24 conductance and yield in rose plants (Raviv and Blom, 2001). Combined studies on the
25 influence of kaolin particle film applications and water stress have been limited in ornamental

1 plants. Only, the literature stated studies performed by Mofteh and Al-Humaid (2005) that the
2 kaolin sprays enhanced water status, water use efficiency (WUE) and the photosynthetic
3 activity in an ornamental plant (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) under water deficit. However, there
4 not studies that have evaluated the use of foliar applications of kaolin on ornamental plants
5 established under water deficit conditions in tropical regions.

6 For that reason, the aim of the present work was to study and compare the influence of kaolin
7 film particle applications and the water status on the stomatal conductance, chlorophyll
8 fluorescence, chlorophyll content, shoot length, yield of marketable stems, leaf water content,
9 and leaf temperature in rose cut plants,

10

11 **2. Material and Methods**

12 This study was carried out at “Centro de Biotecnología del SENA” in Mosquera, Colombia
13 (lat. 4,7° N, long. 74,2° W) for 10 weeks under greenhouse conditions. The greenhouse
14 conditions during the experiment were the following: average temperature 16,5°C, solar
15 radiation 85,41 W/m², relative humidity between 50-90% and a photoperiod of 12 h,
16 approximately. Four-year-old 'Charlotte' rose plants grafted on 'Natal Briar' were used. Two
17 plants were cultivated into 8-l plastic pots containing rice husk (burned at 65%) as substrate.
18 The plants received routine horticultural care suitable for commercial production such as
19 weed and pest control.

20 Treatments were established after shoot pinch. Rose plants were split into well-fertigated (W)
21 and water-stressed (S) plants. Well-fertigated plants were watered daily with 850 ml of a
22 nutrient solution which had the following composition in ppm: N 170, P 35, K 150, Ca 110,
23 Mg 60, S 82, Mn 1, Zn 0.5, Cu 0.5, Fe 3, B 0.5 and Mo 0.1. Water-stressed plants were
24 irrigated daily with the 50% of the nutrient solution's volume (475 ml) which also had the
25 same concentration mentioned above. Then, plants in both water statuses were also separated

1 into plants sprayed with kaolin and plants without kaolin. Kaolin foliar applications were
2 performed at 6 and 30 days after shoot pinch (DAP). Foliar applications of kaolin (300
3 ml/plant) were carried out with an air blast sprayer, wetting the upper and lower surfaces.
4 Foliar applications were performed at early morning at a rate of 5% kaolin water suspension
5 (Surround WP, Tessenderlo Kerley, US), with no adhesive or other compounds.

6 Leaf chlorophyll content and leaf temperature were measured at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAP on a
7 fully mature expanded leaf using a SPAD chlorophyll meter as a nondestructive tool for
8 estimating leaf Chlorophyll (SPAD-502, Konica Minolta Sensing, Inc. Ramsey, NJ) and an
9 infrared thermometer (MX2SL3U, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Vernon Hills, IL),
10 respectively. Leaf temperature readings were carried out at mid-day. Leaf chlorophyll
11 fluorescence was estimated at 60 DAP using a continuous excitation chlorophyll fluorescence
12 analyzer (Handy PEA; Hansatech Instruments, Kings Lynn, UK) in order to evaluate the
13 maximum efficiency of photosystem II (Fv/Fm). Previously, leaves were acclimated to the
14 dark using lightweight leaf clips for at least half an hour before measurements were
15 performed.

16 Stomatal conductance was measured on a fully expanded mature leaf from the midportion of
17 canopy using a leaf-porometer (SC – 1 Decagon Devices, Inc, Pullman, WA). This
18 measurement was performed at 60 DAP between 0900 and 1500 h.

19 Fully expanded mature leaves from the midportion of canopy were collected. Leaves were
20 introduced into a cooler with ice to avoid dehydration and then taken to the laboratory where
21 fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW) were determined. Leaf water content (LWC) was
22 estimated by the equation: $LWC = 100 \times (FW - DW) / (FW)$. Soil water content (SWC) was also
23 determined by a soil humidity probe (Kelway Soil tester, Kel instruments Co., Inc, Wyckoff,
24 NJ). LWC and SWC were obtained at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAP. Shoot length was estimated
25 from eight stems per pot and recorded every week starting 15 DAP until harvest. The portion

1 of light intercepted by the canopy was calculated using the technique described by Naab *et al.*
2 (2008) at 60 DAP. Leaf area index (LAI) was calculated at the end of experiment by a
3 ceptometer (Accupar LP-80, Decagon Devices, Inc, Pullman, WA). Marketable floral stems
4 were counted at harvesting time. A floral stem was considered marketable when its length
5 was higher than 50 cm.

6 A factorial experiment with two factors, Kaolin sprays (Kaolin versus without kaolin) and
7 plant water status (well-irrigated versus water stressed) with four blocks, was established in
8 the above-mentioned greenhouse. The experimental unit was composed of six plastic pots.
9 Analyses of variance were performed on the data to compare the effect of the treatments. All
10 percentage values were transformed using the arcsine transformation before analysis. Where a
11 significant F-test was observed, mean separation between treatments was obtained by Tukey's
12 test. Data were analyzed using Statistix Version 8.0 (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL,
13 US).

14

15 **3. Results and discussion**

16 Significant interactions were not found between plant water status and kaolin. Nevertheless,
17 significant differences were observed when each factor was analyzed separately (Table 1).
18 SWC and LWC were affected by water treatments. Water stressed-plants showed a lower
19 SWC (51,04%) and LWC (74,58%) than well-irrigated plants during 10 weeks, indicating
20 that a group of plants were under water stress conditions for the duration of the experiment.
21 Also, water stressed-plants had a lower stomatal conductance (g_s) than well-irrigated plants.
22 Moftah and Al-Humaid, (2005) also observed that the g_s of Kaolin sprayed-plants under water
23 stress conditions (plants irrigated with 60% of water added to control well-irrigated
24 treatments) did not reach the values obtained in control plants in ornamental plants such as
25 *Polianthes tuberosa* L.. However, some authors stated that kaolin can enhance water use

1 efficiency (WUE) under moderate water stress conditions (Jifon and Syvertsen, 2005; Moftah
2 and Al-Humaid, 2005; Glenn et al., 2010) in spite of low g_s .
3 No differences were observed by irrigation regime on leaf temperature, chlorophyll
4 fluorescence, and SPAD readings in rose cut plants. Regarding the kaolin-based particle film,
5 significance differences were only observed on leaf temperature. Plants treated with foliar
6 sprays showed a lower leaf temperature than non-sprayed plants. Plants sprayed with kaolin
7 showed a difference approximately of 2.5 °C on leaf temperature at mid-day compared to
8 plants without particle film applications. Our results confirm the stated by Glenn et al. (2002),
9 who reported that Kaolin reduces leaf temperature by increasing leaf reflectance. Similar
10 results were observed by Jifon and Syvertsen (2005) and Wunsche et al. (2004), who
11 observed that foliar applications of kaolin reduced leaf temperature at midday ($T_{lf} \approx 3^\circ\text{C}$) in
12 grapefruits and apple leaves, respectively. On the other hand, the interaction kaolin and
13 irrigation regime did not show differences on LAI, shoot length, the portion of light
14 intercepted and the number of marketable stems (Table 2). Differences were only found on
15 the number of marketable stems and shoot length under deficit irrigation. Well-irrigated
16 plants had a greater shoot length and marketable stems than water-stressed plants. Raviv and
17 Blom (2001) reported that a low soil water content reduced shoot length in rose cut plants,
18 since water stress caused stomatal closure, thereby reducing the plant's turgidity, which
19 diminish expansive growth.

20

21 **4. Conclusion**

22 In summary, water stress has a negative influence on stomatal conductance, shoot length, and
23 yield quality of a valuable ornamental plant such as rose cut plants, which is an important
24 issue to consider in greenhouse conditions in tropical areas. Application of particle film
25 tended to reduce leaf temperature at midday because of kaolin's ability to reflect most of the

1 radiant energy falling on leaf surfaces. From these data, kaolin sprays could be considered as
2 a tool to be used in tropical regions to improve the plant acclimation to high temperature and
3 high radiation levels at early stages of shoot growth in rose cut plants. However, more studies
4 are necessary to estimate the phenological optimal stage of kaolin film particle applications in
5 order to not affect the floral stem visual appearance

6 **Aknowledgements**

7 This work was supported by Research Division of the National University of
8 Project code No. 11345.

9

10

11

12 **References**

13 Asocolflores, 2010. Colombian floriculture, 2009 Statistics. Association of Colombian Flower
14 Exporters. Available on line in:

15 <http://www.asocolflores.org/asocolflores/servlet/Download?idExternalFile=924&name=HOJ>
16 [A-DATOS-2010+final+INGLES.pdf](#). [02 March 2011].

17

18 Callejón-Ferre, A.J., Manzano-Agugliaro, F., Díaz-Pérez, M., Carreño-Ortega, A., Pérez-
19 Alonso, J., 2009. Effect of shading with aluminised screens on fruit production and quality in
20 tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under greenhouse conditions. Span. J. Agric. Res. 7, 41-
21 49.

22

23 Glenn, D.M., Cooley, N., Walker, R., Clingeleffer, P., Shellie, K., 2010. Impact of kaolin
24 particle film and water deficit on wine grape water use efficiency and plant water relations.
25 HortScience. 45, 1178-1187.

1
2 Glenn, D.M., Prado, E., Erez, A., McFerson, J., Puterka, G.J., 2002. A reflective, processed-
3 kaolin particle film affects fruit temperature, radiation reflection, and solar injury in apple. J.
4 Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 127, 188–193.
5
6 Jifon, J.L., Syvertsen, J.P., 2003. Kaolin particle film applications can increase photosynthesis
7 and water use efficiency of „Ruby Red“ grapefruit leaves. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 128, 104-
8 112.
9
10 Moftah, A.E., Al-Humaid, A.I., 2005. Effects of kaolin and pinolene film-forming polymers
11 on water relations and photosynthetic rate of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). Agric. Sci.
12 18, 35-49.
13
14 Naab, J.B., Boote, K.J., Prasad, P.V., Seini, S.S., Jones, J.W., 2009. Influence of fungicide
15 and sowing density on the growth and yield of two groundnut cultivars. J. Agr. Sci. 147, 179–
16 191.
17
18 Nakano, A., Uehara, Y., 1996. The effects of kaolin clay on cuticle transpiration in tomato.
19 Acta Hort. 440, 233-238.
20
21 Niu, G., Rodríguez, D.S., 2009. Growth and physiological responses of four rose rootstocks to
22 drought stress. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 134, 202-209.
23
24 Raviv, M., Blom, T.J., 2001. The effect of water availability and quality on photosynthesis
25 and productivity of soilless-grown cut roses. Sci. Hortic-Amsterdam. 88, 257-276.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Restrepo-Díaz, H., Melgar, J.C., Lombardini, L., 2010. Ecophysiology of horticultural crops: an overview. *Agronomía Colombiana*. 28, 71-79.

Rosati, A., Metcalf, S.G., Buchner, R.P., Fulton, A.E., Lampinen, B.D., 2006. Physiological effects of kaolin applications in well-irrigated and water-stressed walnut and almond trees. *Ann. Bot-London* 98, 267-275.

Russo, V.M., Diaz-Perez, J.C., 2005. Kaolin-based particle film has no effect on physiological measurements, disease incidence or yield in peppers. *HortScience*. 40, 98-101.

Wunsche, J.N., Lombardini, L., Greer, D.H., 2004. „Surround“ particle film applications - effects on whole canopy physiology of apple. *Acta Hortic*. 636, 565-575.

1 **Table 1**

2 Effect of kaolin film particle applications and water deficit on leaf temperature, chlorophyll fluorescence, SPAD, stomatal conductance, soil
3 water content and leaf water content in 'Charlotte' rose plants grafted on 'Natal Briar'.

4

	Leaf ^a temperature (°C)	Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm)	SPAD ^a	Stomatal conductance (mmol CO ₂ ·m ⁻² ·s ⁻¹)	Soil water content ^a (%)	Leaf water content ^a (%)
Stress						
- Well-watered	20.26	0.83	49.2	172.43 a ^b	61.04 a	76.56 a
- Water-stressed	19.18	0.82	47.4	147.25 b	51.04 b	74.58 b
Significance ^c	NS	NS	NS	***	***	**
Kaolin						
- No kaolin	21.19 a	0.83	49.0	157.45	55.62	75.43
- With kaolin	18.87 b	0.81	47.6	160.25	56.45	75.71
Significance	***	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Stress x Kaolin ^d	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV (%) ^e	5.11	4.79	11.54	27.34	13.42	2.87

5 ^a Values are the average of samples done at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAP

6 ^b Within a column and factor followed by different letters are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ by Tukey's test.

7 ^c NS, **, *** Non-significant or Significant at $P \leq 0.01$ or $P \leq 0.001$, respectively.

8 ^d Interaction between Kaolin sprays and irrigation regime. NS means non-significant

9 ^e Coefficient of variation

1 **Table 2**

2 Effect of kaolin film particle applications and water deficit on shoot length, leaf area index, portion of light intercepted and number of marketable
 3 stems in 'Charlotte' rose plants grafted on 'Natal Briar'.

4

	Shoot Length (cm)	Leaf Area Index (LAI)	Portion of light intercepted (%)	Yield (Number of marketable stems)
STRESS				
- Well watered	75.41 a ^a	3.06	89	58.9 a
- Stressed	58.18 b	2.44	88	35.9 b
Significance ^b	..	NS	NS	...
KAOLIN				
- No kaolin	70.89	2.58	88	48.8
- With kaolin	62.71	2.92	88	46.0
Significance	NS	NS	NS	NS
Stress x Kaolin^c	NS	NS	NS	NS
CV (%)^d	20.83	17.89	2.70	20.40

5 ^a Within a column and factor followed by different letters are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$ by Tukey's test.

6 ^b NS, **, *** Non-significant or Significant at $P \leq 0.01$ or $P \leq 0.001$, respectively.

7 ^c Interaction between kaolin sprays and irrigation regime. NS means non-significant

8 ^d Coefficient of variation